

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	<b>Royal Wootton Bassett and Cricklade CATG – Date of meeting: Wednesday 7<sup>th</sup> March 2018. Cricklade Town Council officers</b>			
<b>1.</b>	<b>Attendees and apologies</b>			
	<p><u>Present:</u> Simon Billis, Mollie Groom, Mary Champion, Mike Farrow, Jonathon Bourne. Allison Bucknell, Bob Jones, Dean Cobb, Rod Gill, Jacqui Lay, John Coole, Ray Thomas, Diana Kirby, Chris Hurst Martin Cook, Steve Hind</p>	<p><u>Apologies:</u> Alexa Davies Geoff Jackson-Haines Spencer Drinkwater</p>	<p>Not Present:</p>	
<b>2.</b>	<b>Notes of last meeting - December 2017</b>			
		The notes from December meeting were accepted		
<b>3.</b>	<b>Top 5 Priority Schemes (A List)</b>			
a)	<p><u>Issue 3986 - CRICKLADE</u>  The Forty/The Fiddle/ Chelworth Rd. HGV damage</p>	<p>Signing scheme proposed is approved by Cricklade Town Council costing up to 5k. CTC agreed 50%</p> <p>Signs ordered and implementation programmed to be complete by 22<sup>nd</sup> Dec, although this is now likely to be delayed until Jan/Feb.</p> <p>Costs now likely to be around 8k due to additional works including bollards. WC to fund the additional costs.</p>	<p>Completed - £2,500 to be paid by Cricklade – remainder to be paid by WC - SH to send invoice to Cricklade TC – now can be removed from the A List as a closed case.</p>	<b>CLOSE</b>
b)	<p><u>Issue 3629 - CRICKLADE</u>  Cricklade, Chelworth Rd - speeding</p>	<p>A proposal received from Cricklade TC, 40mph on the built up area for the western end of Chelworth Rd. This proposal is approved. Cost around 2.5k for advert and implementation.</p> <p>50% funding from Cricklade TC agreed 28/12/17</p>	<p>SH - Cricklade TC confirmed to go ahead with the 40mph extended back from the crossroads up Chelworth Road. WC to advertise the proposals for a new speed. If no objection then can go ahead. If any</p>	<b>SH</b>

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Site visit undertaken to determine sign locations. To be advertised.	objections then have to address them.	
c)	<a href="#">Issue 4490 - PURTON</a>  Submitted 17/02/16  Road calming required on Station Road in Purton	Scheme discussed at the PC meeting in January. Estimate approx. £14k. Ray Thomas confirmed Purton PC had budgeted to contribute £7k to the project.  Purton PC to decide whether or not to continue.	Two schemes – 4490 and 4458.  4490 leave open and move to B List.	<b>MOVE TO B LIST</b>
d)	<a href="#">Issue 4458 – PURTON – Cricklade Rd</a>  Submitted 02/02/16  Speeding Issue.Speed of traffic entering and leaving Purton at Widham by railway bridge.	Discussed with Ray Thomas  Futher consultation required from Purton PC	Meeting with SH and RT – request for a cost development for the scheme – Purton PC to put forward £7k – probably a substantive project as could be around £20k. if so then the cost would not come from CAT G budget. CAT G requesting for the costings and then make a decision in June.	<b>SH</b>
e)	Issue 5026 - <b>BRADENSTOKE</b>  Submitted 29/11/16  Safety at B4069 junction Bradenstoke	Initial proposal presented to PC at previous CATG meeting for consideration. Further request for chevron signs to be included on approach to No 7 The Banks – Site meeting undertaken to clarify request.  Drawing proposals being prepared.	SH and AB met on site to look at extra chevron signs around No. 9 The Banks. Scheme with extra chevron boards and signage – paper copies given to RG - Lyneham and Bradonstoke PC agreed £2,000 to put towards it. Cost of project around £8,400. Action SH to email pdf of scheme. Rod to try to achieve an extra £500 to £1k from the pc next Tuesday at pc meeting. If confirmation comes through from pc and happy with the scheme then SH can proceed.	<b>PC to discuss further funding.</b>  <b>SH to proceed subject to additional funding</b>

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

f)	<b>Issue 5892 - TOCKENHAM</b>  A3102 Tockenham	Site meeting undertaken with PC.  Various concerns raised. It was agreed to develop several proposals with cost estimates to address these concerns, followed by prioritisation in conjunction with the PC.	SH and MC met with DK and other parish councillors. Feel there is something to be done but needs to develop a series of small projects to be priced up and then CAT G can decide how to go ahead. SH to let pc know when he has some schemes ready. Hopefully will having something ready for next meeting.	<b>SH</b>
g)	<b>Issue 5083 - PURTON</b>  Submitted 10/1/2017  Road priorities at Tadpole Lane, B4533 and the C70	Site visit undertaken.  Low cost option not available. All options will require significant land acquisition.	SH visited and feels there is no low cost option available. BJ reported several accidents again at the junction. SH and MC feels that an experimental one way order – no entry into Hayes Knoll or no entry either way. Both Purton Pc and Cricklade TC to be advised and for them to come back to say if they agree.	<b>SH TO WRITE TO CTC / PURTON PC</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>Substitute Priorities (B List)</b>			
a)	<b>Issue 4412 - CRICKLADE</b>  Traffic management/ speeding/ parking at North Wall, High Street Junction, Cricklade.	07.12.17 - This looked to be progressed through routine management. This is likely to be dealt with by the next meeting.  A review of waiting restrictions is taking place in Cricklade by Trevor Malton (WC network management team).  Town council to progress approval for new parking area off North Wall.	<i>No action.</i> <i>Leave on until Feb meeting with a view to closing at that meeting.</i>  RTO now in for double yellow lines/ waiting restrictions waiting for these to be confirmed - no further action on car parking as TC dealing with this.	<b>CLOSE</b>

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Waiting restrictions can be developed once approval process for parking area is complete.	Can now be closed	
b)	<p><a href="#">Issue 4567 – BROAD TOWN</a></p> <p><i>Submitted 29/03/16</i></p> <p>Speeding Broad Town</p>	<p>07.12.17 - Dave Thomas had attempted to contact the PC to discuss this issue, however they had not got back to him. To be left on agenda for one next cycle.</p> <p>Broad Town PC considering SIDS.</p> <p>SH had provided SID information to Broad Town PC. SH stated fixed SIDS were not effective, portable SIDS are preferred. WC policy is not to install permanently installed SIDS based on research. It was advised Broad Town identify a number of locations in each direction for potential SIDS and to move them about. This would need to be managed by the PC, so qualified manpower was required for a successful scheme. Posts could be put in place for SIDS to be fixed to.</p> <p>DT has tried to contact Broad Town PC and has not received a response.</p>	<p>SB – considering the SIDS at pc but these are not always effective but speed limit reminder signs may be a better option. Can be Solar Powdered. Would need two signs on posts – Parish Steward can put up and pc could pay for these. Other option put in marked parking bays to creating ‘mobile build outs’ . Looking at the Safe Routes to School policy as then can apply for other funding. Discussing this with DT and when BT pc have some schemes they are happy with then will come back to CAT G to look for financial support.</p> <p>Action is for BT to bring back a proposal to CAT G at the next meeting.</p>	<b>BROAD TOWN PC</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>Pending Priorities (C List)</b> (Issues can be viewed in full from the <a href="#">Area Board Section</a> on the <a href="#">Wiltshire Council Website</a> )			
c)	<p><a href="#">Issue 4505 – ROYAL WOOTTON BASSETT</a></p> <p><i>Submitted 25/02/16</i></p> <p>Speeding Along Nore Marsh Road RWB</p>	<p>07.12.17 - Johnathan Bourne informed RWB TC had allocated a CATG budget and are keen to consider CATG issues holistically for the whole town.</p> <p>06.09.2017 – if the school put in a request, traffic calming lights at critical times can be supplied if the school has an appropriate travel plan in place.</p>	<p>JB –RWBTC has selected this as one of their 2 priority areas and have requested a metro count. Chris Hurst says there are people ready to do Speedwatch but await training. Alexa Davies to liaise with TC on location .</p>	<b>AD to request Metrocount</b>

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>High volume of traffic speeding vehicles especially trucks site traffic down Nore Marsh Road between Nore down Way and Washbourne Road Wootton Bassett. Refer to TC. Alexa to talk to RWB TC with a view to metrocount after Network Rail works finished.</p> <p>Chris Hurst is trying to get a speedwatch scheme arranged and needs metrocount to be requested by Town Council.</p> <p>Cllr Paul Heaphy to talk to school to see if they want a non-TRO flashing 20mph scheme out side the school – CH will take this as casework.</p>	<p>CH to check with Paul Heaphy if he has spoken with school with regard to the 20mph school signs.</p>	
i)	<p>Issue 5082 - <b>PURTON</b></p> <p>Submitted 9/1/2017</p> <p>Safety concerns at Purton village centre.</p>	<p>06.12.17 - the Co-op have sent through some plans. The Co-op have informed SH they have no budget available. SH confirmed no S106 money was available. SH stated this issue is not a safety risk at present.</p>	<p><i>No action can be taken as will be looked at as part of planning application.</i></p> <p>RT and SH met. The Co-op scheme is purely lineage scheme. SH to write to the agent to find out frequency of deliveries etc. Still ongoing until we know what the Co-op is planning to whether they come to the village or not.</p>	
l)	<p>Issues from previous CATG meeting</p>	<p>06.09.2017 – 5 metro counts – not clear what the current status is of these – AD to chase</p>	<p>Chase up metrocounts</p>	<p><b>AD</b></p>
<b>6.</b>	<b>Outstanding Issues by Division</b>			
		<p>Please see Appendix A – new issues March 2018.</p>		
<b>7.</b>	<b>Substantive Highways Scheme</b>			

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Two possible schemes in the offing – Tockenham (5892) and Purton (4458)		
<b>8.</b>	<b>Finance – CATG Budget and Pavement and Footway Improvement Scheme</b>			
		<p><b>BUDGET</b>            £13,273 remaining with £14,205 to come in from April.</p> <p>Purton Manor Hill came in a lot cheaper – half the cost.</p> <p>Around £50k available for 2017/18</p> <p><b>FOOTWAY Improvements</b>            In December 2017, The CATG group agreed to put forwards the larger projects as substantive schemes (Bath Rd, Brynards Hill, Lyneham, Purton) . Details of 2018-19 footpath funding not yet available.</p>	<b>SH to send electronic version of budget to go with notes.</b>	<b>SH</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>Freight Route Reviews</b>			
		Decision regarding Freight Route Reviews: <a href="http://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/documents/s141207/Appendix.pdf">http://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/documents/s141207/Appendix.pdf</a>	<b>Nothing for our area</b>	
<b>10.</b>	<b>Other items</b>			
<b>11.</b>	<b>Date of Next Meeting: Wednesday 6<sup>th</sup> June 2018</b>			

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

### Highways Officer – Steve Hind

#### 1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

#### 2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Royal Wootton Bassett and Cricklade Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3<sup>rd</sup> party contributions are confirmed, Wootton Bassett and Cricklade Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£Tbc.**

#### 3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

#### 4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

#### 5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

#### 6. Safeguarding implications